APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

	JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 2023010 3	. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPRO
--	---	------------------------------------

B.	ST LOUIS, MO DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVS-2022-768
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
	State:MO County/parish/borough: St. Charles City: Wentzville
	Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.7928° N, Long90.8785° W. Universal
	Transverse Mercator: 15
	Name of nearest waterbody: Peruque Creek.
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07110009 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 20221215 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):
SEC A.	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The area	ere are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review a.
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
	1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
	 Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):¹ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review area contains a total of 8 non-regulated waters, see additional comments below.
	CTION III: CWA ANALYSIS TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWS: N/A
B.	CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
C.	SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): \rmN/A
E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
	— "Taketo do not meet the originalem rectus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain.

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Delow.
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
 □ Lakes/ponds: acres. □ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: □ Wetlands: acres.
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
 SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: On-Site Soils □ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. □ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. □ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. □ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: □ Corps navigable waters' study: □ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: □ USGS NHD data. □ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
 ☑ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K Foristell ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: St. Charles County Soil Survey/USDA-
NCSS SSURGO-Google Earth Layer. □ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:USFWS NWI GIS Wetland Mapper □ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): □ FEMA/FIRM maps: □ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) □ Photographs: □ Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth 2003, 2009, 2013, 2018, 2022. □ or □ Other (Name & Date): □ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: □ Applicable/supporting case law: □ Applicable/supporting scientific literature: □ Other information (please specify):
R ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT ID:

Other (explain, if not covered above): 4 Farm Stock Ponds and 4 Drainage Features, see additional comments

A desktop review of the 129 acre review area, data sources noted in Section IV, and Waters of the U.S. Investigation Report (On-Site Soils) it has been determined that:

Pond A (.3-acre), Pond B (.02-acre), Pond C (.03-acre), Pond D (.02-acre) were artificially constructed farm stock ponds within upland sites, with no hydrologic connection to Waters of the U.S. The ponds are not connected by inflow or overspill to nearby streams.

A portion of Tributary A (non-jurisdictional, 1100 linear feet), Drainage Feature B (278 linear feet), Drainage Feature C (194 linear feet), and Drainage Feature D (223 linear feet) are located within the project area and do lack stream characteristics, such as, defined bed/bank/channel, ordinary high water mark, vegetational shelving, and surface flow evidence.

A preliminary jurisdictional determination (PJD) was completed for the jurisdictional portion of Tributary A (780 linear feet) and the portion of Peruque Creek (2640 linear feet)located within the 129-acre review area.